



International Journal of Instruction Article Evaluation Form

Mr. /Mrs.

It is to acknowledge you that the Executive Committee of *International Journal of Instruction* has decided that the article mentioned below would be reviewed by you. Thank you very much for your contributions.

Asim ARI
Editor in Chief

Name of the article: Instructional Learning Based Environment as a Pattern of Multicultural in the Community of a School

After reviewing the attached article, please read each item carefully and select the response that best reflects your opinion. To register your response, please **mark** or **type in** the appropriate block.

	Yes	Partially	No
Do you think the title is appropriate?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Does the abstract summarize the article clearly and effectively?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are the objectives set clearly?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the issue stated clearly?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the literature review adequate?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the design of the research appropriate, and the exemplary, if any, suitable?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the methodology consistent with the practice?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are the findings expressed clearly?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the presentation of the findings adequate and consistent?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are the tables, if any, arranged well?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are the conclusions and generalizations based on the findings?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are the suggestions meaningful, valid, and based on the findings?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Are the references adequate?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the language clear and understandable?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is cohesion achieved throughout the article?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the work contributing to the field?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

- Evaluation:**
- ☐ The article can be published as it is.
 - ☐ The article can be published after some revision.
 - ☒ The article must undergo a major revision before it can be resubmitted to the journal.
 - ☐ The article cannot be published.

Would you like to see the revised article if you have suggested any revisions? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Please write your report either on this paper or on a spare paper.

REPORT

Section of the Manuscript	Comments and Notes
	Title- Abstract-Summary title must be more comprehensive and it also should be narrowed down since its too general. Its better not to have citations or references to other literature in ABSTRACT section. The first section of the ABSTRCT is called background or introduction and it should

	contain the information about what is already know about the issue and the gap in the previous literature. The ABSTRACT section does not have this important part. d The ABSTRACT did not clearly state the objective and main research question of the study. The design and participants must be more explained. At the end of ABSTRACT section, the authors must explain the findings much more precisely. Also, its now more usual, to provide theoretical or practical implications.
Introduction and Literature Review	in the Introduction section, statement of the problem and significance of study were neglected at the end of the section. Moreover, the authors did not provide Research Question at all!!!in the Literature Review section, the authors must provide some practical studies which have done in the literature.
Research Methods	the method section has two 'subject and object' part which one should be deleted. Furthermore, presenting the objective of study in the METHOD section is unusual. The titles also must be changed to Participants. In this part, the authors must provide information about the age range and sampling procedure of participants.
Research Findings	the first part of FINDING section must provide a connection between research objective and the current findings which were neglected in the present research paper. Moreover, the section is too bulky with irrelevant information. The authors should focus mainly on the relevant findings with research aim in a logical sequence.
Discussion	in the discussion section, the authors didn't compare and contrast the results with previous ones in order to justify how and why the results are in the same or opposite way. Furthermore, the authors must transfer the 'chart 1' to 'FINDINGS' section.
Conclusion and Suggestions	In the CONCLUSION section, the authors provide the results in separated sentences. It should rather be in a united paragraph. Moreover, at the end of this section, the authors must provide the implications as well as suggestions for further studies.
References and Citation	all of the citation in the text are put in the parentheses, for example, research conducted by (B. Rahman, 2014). also, some references have problems. So, the authors must pay much more attention to APA style.
Language	there are some grammatical and structural problems regarding the paper language, but the overall result is satisfying.
Other issues	generally speaking, the article has a good topic. The authors introduces the issue appropriately but they cannot present the gap which exists in the previous literature. Also, they cannot justify how the findings relate to previous ones in the literature and what are the instructional or theoretical implications of the study. To sum it up, the article could be accepted with major revisions.